Table	1. Sel	lected	geometric	parameters	(A,	0
					· · · ·	

$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
(1)-(1)	(6) (6) (7) (6) (6) (8)
C2C3C4 114.2 (4) C16C15C14 123.6   C26C8C14 106.7 (3) C15C16C17 124.9   C18C13C14 123.7 (4) C13C18C17 123.2   C27C14C8 112.7 (3) C13C18C17 123.2	(5) (4) (4)
C1—C2—C3—O1 177.5 (3) C14—C15—C16—C17 3.6   O1—C3—C4—C5 -174.5 (3) C12—C13—C18—C19 -2.5   C26—C8—C14—C27 175.3 (4) C32—C31—O1—C3 178.5	5 (7) 5 (6) 1 (5)

# Table 2. Ring-puckering parameters (Å, °) for four rings

Ring	$q_2$	$q_3$	$Q_T$	$\theta$
A	0.019 (5)	0.551 (4)	0.551 (4)	2.0 (5)
В	0.089 (4)	0.565 (5)	0.572 (5)	8.9 (4)
С	0.067 (5)	0.572 (4)	0.576 (4)	6.7 (5)
Ε	0.049 (5)	0.572 (5)	0.574 (5)	4.9 (5)

One of the C atoms (C34) was found to be affected by disorder: this was modelled in terms of two equally occupied sites following refinement of the occupancies to 0.55(5) and 0.45 (5). The distances between these isotropic components and C33 were restrained to 1.52 (1) Å. All other non-H atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. All H atoms were included at geometrically calculated positions, except for those of methyl groups attached to  $sp^2$  centres (*i.e.* for C32, C34A and C34B), where the H atoms were located from a circular difference Fourier synthesis. In the refinement, the idealized methyl groups centred on C32, C34A and C34B were allowed to rotate about the local C-C vector, while other H atoms were then allowed to ride on their parent atoms. Hydrogen displacement parameters were also allowed to ride. with  $U_{iso}(H) = xU_{eo}(C)$ , where x = 1.5 for methyl H atoms and x = 1.2 for all others.

As the absolute structure could not be determined reliably from the Flack parameter [0.2 (5)] and there are no comparable structures where the absolute structure is known, the enantiomer shown cannot be regarded as having been satisfactorily established.

Data collection: local program (Belletti *et al.*, 1993). Cell refinement: local program. Data reduction: local program. Program(s) used to solve structure: *SIR*92 (Altomare *et al.*, 1994). Program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*93 (Sheldrick, 1993). Molecular graphics: *ZORTEP* (Zsolnai & Huttner, 1994). Software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL*93.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: BM1304). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

#### References

- Altomare, A., Cascarano, G., Giacovazzo, C., Guagliardi, A., Burla, M. C., Polidori, G. & Camalli, M. (1994). J. Appl. Cryst. 27, 435.
- Belletti, D., Cantoni, A. & Pasquinelli, G. (1993). Gestone online di Diffractometro Siemens AED con Personal Computer. Internal Report, pp. 1–93. Centro di Studio per la Strutturistica Diffractometrica del CNR, Parma, Italy.

© 1999 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved Cox, P. J., Durham, D. G., Liu, X. & Richards, R. M. E. (1995). Acta Cryst. C51, 2135–2137.

Cremer, D. & Pople, J. A. (1975). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 97, 1354-1358.

- Dutta, P. K. (1972). PhD thesis, Calcutta University, India.
- Mahato, S. B. & Kundu, A. P. (1994). Phytochemistry, 37, 1517-1575.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351–359.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Zsolnai, L. & Huttner, G. (1994). ZORTEP. A Program for Molecular Graphics. University of Heidelberg, Germany.

Acta Cryst. (1999). C55, 994-996

# Uronium sulfate, [OHC(NH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>(SO<sub>4</sub>)

Tianlang Chen,<sup>*a*</sup> Shenxiu Xiao,<sup>*a*</sup> Boyu Zhong,<sup>*b*</sup> Charlotte L. Stern<sup>*b*</sup> and Donald E. Ellis<sup>*b*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, Sichuan Union University, Chengdu, Sichuan 610064, People's Republic of China, and <sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry and Materials Research Center, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208, USA. E-mail: don-ellis@nwu.edu

(Received 9 February 1998; accepted 9 November 1998)

#### Abstract

The title compound crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group *Pbca*. In the crystal structure, the two H atoms of sulfuric acid protonate the carbonyl groups of two urea molecules. The H—O(urea) distances are 0.83 (3) and 0.87 (2) Å, with O(urea)—O(sulfate) distances of 2.544 (2) and 2.571 (2) Å, respectively.

## Comment

The existence of the title compound, uronium sulfate, was deduced, based on a phase-diagram study, about 63 years ago (Dalman, 1934). However, the synthesis of this compound has never been reported; neither crystals nor crystal structure data have been available up to the present. In recent years, we have found that this compound has the important property of activating the phosphorus from phosphatic rock and soil. Uronium sulfate has therefore been applied widely in the manufacture of phosphatic and compound fertilizers (Chen & Xiao, 1989). We report here the synthesis and crystal structure of the title compound, (I). The



crystal structure of (I) is shown in Fig. 1, and is qualitatively similar to that seen for uronium nitrate by X-ray (Harkema & Feil, 1969) and neutron (Worsham & Busing, 1969) diffraction. The atomic arrangement on the a-c plane is shown in Fig. 2. In a subsequent article, we will give a detailed analysis of the bond structure and electronic density of states, obtained by embeddedcluster density-functional methodology, and comment on its consequences for phosphorus activation.



Fig. 1. The crystal structure of (I). Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.



Fig. 2. Atomic arrangement on the a-c plane.

## Experimental

The title compound was synthesized from the reaction of urea and sulfuric acid. To a 25 ml round-bottomed flask under nitrogen, 96.0% sulfuric acid (5.10 g, 0.05 mol) was added, followed slowly by ground ultra-pure urea (6.00 g, 0.10 mol)with stirring. After the solid had dissolved, the solution was allowed to stand at 293 K overnight.

#### Crystal data

 $2CH_5N_2O^+ \cdot SO_4^{2-}$ Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation  $M_r = 218.18$  $\lambda = 0.7107 \text{ Å}$ Orthorhombic Cell parameters from 25 Pbca reflections a = 10.594(2) Å  $\theta = 12.0 - 13.0^{\circ}$  $\mu = 0.368 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ b = 7.461 (4) Åc = 22.920(5) Å T = 153.2 K $V = 1811.6(9) \text{ Å}^3$ Columnar Z = 8 $0.77 \times 0.43 \times 0.26$  mm  $D_x = 1.600 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Colorless  $D_m$  not measured

Data collection CAD-4 diffractometer 1404 reflections with  $\omega - \theta$  scans  $I > 3\sigma(I)$  $R_{\rm int} = 0.012$ Absorption correction: analytical (de Meulenaer  $\theta_{\rm max} = 25.97^{\circ}$ & Tompa, 1965)  $h = -13 \rightarrow 2$  $T_{\min} = 0.855, T_{\max} = 0.909$  $k = -1 \rightarrow 9$ 2188 measured reflections  $l = -28 \rightarrow 5$ 2078 independent reflections 3 standard reflections every 90 reflections

#### Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
R(F) = 0.023	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.19 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
$wR(F^2) = 0.034$	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.33 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
S = 2.440	Extinction correction:
1404 reflections	Zachariasen (1967)
159 parameters	Extinction coefficient:
All H atoms refined	7.7 (4) $\times 10^{-7}$
isotropically	Scattering factors from
$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o)]$	International Tables for
$+ 0.00002  F_o ^2$ ]	Crystallography (Vol. C)

intensity decay: -0.4%

# Table 1. Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	D—H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D$ — $\mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot \mathbf{A}$	
05—H1···O1	0.87(2)	1.70(2)	2.571 (2)	171(2)	
O6—H6· · ·O3	0.83 (3)	1.73 (3)	2.544 (2)	168 (3)	
N1—H3· · ·O2	0.84 (3)	2.06(3)	2.893 (2)	169 (2)	
N2—H4· · ·O2'	0.88(2)	2.07 (2)	2.915(2)	163 (2)	
N2—H5· · ·O4"	0.81(2)	2.04 (2)	2.843 (2)	169(2)	
N3—H7· · ·O4	0.88 (2)	2.00(2)	2.870(2)	173 (2)	
N3—H8· · ·O3‴	0.87(2)	2.07(2)	2.882(2)	154 (2)	
N4—H10· · ·O2 <sup>™</sup>	0.81(2)	2.13 (2)	2.916 (3)	164 (2)	
Symmetry codes:	(i) $x - \frac{1}{2}$ ,	$y, \frac{1}{2} - z;$ (i	ii) $2 - x, y$	$-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}-z;$	
(iii) $\frac{1}{2} + x$ , $\frac{1}{2} - y$ , $1 - z$ ; (iv) $2 - x$ , $-y$ , $1 - z$ .					

The structure was solved by direct methods and expanded using Fourier techniques (Altomare *et al.*, 1993). The non-H atoms were refined anisotropically. All calculations were performed using the *TEXSAN* crystallographic software package (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1997).

Data collection: *CAD-4 Software* (Enraf–Nonius, 1989). Cell refinement: *CAD-4 Software*. Data reduction: *TEXSAN* (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1997).

This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation, through the Northwestern University Materials Research Center (grant No. DMR 9632472), and by the China National Planning Committee.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: BK1397). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

#### References

- Altomare, A., Cascarano, G., Giacovazzo, C. & Guagliardi, A. (1993). J. Appl. Cryst. 26, 343-350.
- Chen, T. & Xiao, S. (1989). Phosphatic Compd Fert. 3, 11-14.
- Dalman, L. H. (1934). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 56, 549-553.
- Enraf-Nonius (1989). CAD-4 Software. Version 5.0. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Harkema, S. & Feil, D. (1969). Acta Cryst. B25, 589-591.
- Meulenaer, J. de & Tompa, H. (1965). Acta Cryst. 19, 1014–1018. Molecular Structure Corporation (1997). TEXSAN for WINDOWS. Single Crystal Structure Analysis Software. Version 1.03. MSC,
- 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Worsham, J. E. Jr & Busing, W. R. (1969). Acta Cryst. B25, 572–578. Zachariasen, W. H. (1967). Acta Cryst. 23, 558–564.

Acta Cryst. (1999). C55, 996-1000

# Regioselectivity in dibenzobarrelene photorearrangements: photoproducts derived from 9-substituted-dibenzobarrelenes

M. MUNEER,<sup>*a*</sup> D. RAMAIAH,<sup>*a*</sup> E. S. Ajitkumar,<sup>*a*</sup> M. C. Sajimon,<sup>*a*</sup> Nigam P. Rath<sup>*b*</sup> and M. V. George<sup>*a*,*c*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Photochemistry Research Unit, Regional Research Laboratory (CSIR), Trivandrum 695 019, India, <sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Missouri–St. Louis, 8001 Natural Bridge Road, St. Louis, MO 63121, USA, and <sup>c</sup>Jawaharlal Nehru Centre for Advanced Scientific Research, Bangalore 560 064, India. E-mail: nigam\_rath@umsl.edu

(Received 3 August 1998; accepted 11 January 1999)

## Abstract

Irradiation of the 9-formyl-, 9-acetyl-, 9-nitro- and 9-*tert*-substituted dibenzobarrelenes gave the corresponding 4b-substituted dibenzosemibullvalenes, (2*a*) (8b, 8c-dibenzoyl-4b, 8b, 8c, 8d-tetrahydrodibenzo[a,f]-cyclopropa[cd]pentalene-4b-carbaldehyde, C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>20</sub>O<sub>3</sub>), (2*b*) (4b-acetyl-8b, 8c-dibenzoyl-4b, 8b, 8c, 8d-tetra-

hydrodibenzo[a, f]cyclopropa[cd]pentalene, C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>3</sub>), (2c) (8b,8c-dibenzoyl-4b-nitro-4b,8b,8c,8d-tetrahydrodibenzo[a, f]cyclopropa[cd]pentalene, C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>19</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>) and (2d) (8b,8c-dibenzoyl-4b-tert-butyl-4b,8b,8c,8d-tetrahydrodibenzo[a, f]cyclopropa[cd]pentalene). The product (2d) gave the corresponding dibenzopentalenofuran derivative, (3d) (10c-benzoyl-6b-tert-butyl-2-phenyl-10b,10c-dihydro-6bH-dibenzo[a,f]furo[2,3,4-cd]pentalene,  $C_{34}H_{28}O_2$ ). The structures of (2a), (2b), (2c) and (3d) have been established unequivocally via X-ray crystallographic analysis. The molecular structures of (2a), (2b), and (2c) incorporate the basic dibenzosemibullvalene skeleton, which contains the 6:5:5:6:3 fused ring system with normal bond lengths and bond angles. The crystal structures of (2a), (2b), and (2c) have intermolecular hydrogen-bonding interactions (< 2.60 Å) which are almost linear around the H atoms. The structure of (3d)shows a 6:5:5:6:5 fused ring system and does not indicate hydrogen-bonding-type intermolecular interactions.

## Comment

Several aspects of the photorearrangement of dibenzobarrelenes containing the 1,2-dibenzoylalkene moiety are reported in the literature (Kumar et al., 1984, 1993, 1996, 1997; Murty et al., 1985; Pratapan et al., 1987, 1990; Asokan et al., 1991; Scheffer & Yang, 1995; Mathew et al., 1996a,b; Ramaiah et al., 1996; Muneer et al., 1996). It has been found that the observed regioselectivity in these rearrangements depends on several factors, including the electronic and steric requirements of the bridgehead substituents. Thus, it has been observed that if an electron-withdrawing substituent such as the cyano group is present at the bridgehead position of the starting dibenzobarrelene, then the 8bcyanosubstituted dibenzosemibullvalene is formed on irradiation (Murty et al., 1985; Muneer et al., 1996). On the other hand, the presence of substituents such as methyl, methoxy, formyl, acetoxy etc. at the bridgehead position of the starting dibenzobarrelenes results in the formation of the corresponding 4b-substituted dibenzosemibullvalenes upon irradiation (Murty et al., 1985). In view of the importance of understanding the role of bridgehead substituents in the observed regioselectivity in the photorearrangements of dibenzobarrelenes, it became essential to determine the structures of the photoproducts unambiguously through X-ray crystallographic analysis.

In the present study, we have examined the structures of the photoproducts (2a), (2b), (2c) and (3d), derived from the dibenzobarrelenes, 11,12-dibenzoyl-9,10-dihydro-9-formyl-9,10-ethenoanthracene (1*a*), 9-acetyl-11, 12-dibenzoyl-9, 10-dihydro-9, 10-ethenoanthracene (1*b*), 11,12-dibenzoyl-9,10-dihydro-9-nitro-9,10-ethenoanthracene (1*c*) and 9-*tert*-butyl-11, 12dibenzoyl-9, 10-dihydro-9, 10-ethenoanthracene (1*d*), respectively. It has been reported earlier, on the basis